

Bellenden Pupil Premium

Impact of interventions for financial year April 2023/2024

Details of challenge	Impact over	er finan	cial yea <mark>r</mark> 2	023/2024
Assessment,		PP	NPP	
observations, and	Rapid	56%	13%	
discussions with	progress			
pupils indicate	Expected	44%	87%	
underdeveloped	progress Steady	0%	0%	
verbal skills –	progress	0%	0%	
ranging from	Overall	100%	100%	
Reception to KS2.	progress			
Many children are	An Improv	ement i	n verbal s	kills will show through children's writing across
on the Speech and	the school			ũ ũ
Language	Year 1 writ	ting		
Caseload.	IN this clas	ss there	e are 9 chil	dren who are eligible for the PP grant. Out of
				sh as a second language. However, PP and
Assessment,				in line with each other. Which indicates very
observations and	good impa		Ũ	
discussion with	Reading			
children suggest		PP	NPP	
disadvantaged	Rapid	22%	0%	
generally have	progress			
greater difficulties	Expected	56%	80%	
retaining phonics	progress	220/	200/	
than their peers,	Steady progress	22%	20%	
meaning that	Overall	78%	80%	
reading skills are	progress			
delayed.				-
dolayou.				

	PP	NPP
Rapid	29%	19%
progress		
Expected	71%	63%
progress		
Steady	0%	19%
progress		
Overall	100%	81%
progress		

Year 2 writing

In this class, 9 children are eligible for the pupil premium grant but out of these, 3 have English as an additional language (30% of the PP children also have protected characteristics)

However, children eligible for the PP grant are out performing NPP children. Which indicates excellent impact.

Reading

	PP	NPP
Rapid	0%	13%
progress		
Expected	86%	69%
progress		
Steady	14%	19%
progress		
Overall	86%	81%
progress		

Year 3 writing

	PP	NPP
Rapid	0	20
progress		
Expected	90	73
progress		
Steady	10	07
progress		
Overall	90	93
progress		

In this class, there are 10 children eligible for the pupil premium grant. Of these children, 1 has SEN and 3 have EAL meaning 40% of our pupil premium children also have protected characteristics. However, PP and NPP children are achieving in line with each other. Which indicates very good impact.

that this is a pro	ojection o	nly	/	
-	ading	-		
	P		NPP	1
	apid 0		0	
	ogress		72	4
	ogress	,	73	
	eady 30	2	27	
	ogress		_,	
	verall 70)	73	
pr	ogress			
Ye	ar 4 Writing		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		P	NPP	
	apid 1	1	14	
	ogress		00	
		9	86	
	ogress eady 0		0	
	ogress			
		00	100	
	ogress			
Int	this class, 1	0 c	hildren ar	e eligible for the pupil premium grant out of
				and 1 child has SEN.
				dren who are eligible for the PPG, 50% of them
				naracteristics. However, PP and NPP children
				ch other. Which indicates very good impact.
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Re	ading			
	P	P	NPP	
		3	29	
	ogress			
E>	pected 7	8	71	
	ogress			
	eady 9		0	
	ogress /erall 9	1	100	
	verall 9 ogress	1	100	
		hild	in this you	ar group is equivalent to 10 percentage points.
				nce between PP and NPP in reading is less than
one		ui S		ldren are making comparable progress.

Year 5 writir	ng	
	PP	NPP
Rapid	7	8
progress		
Expected	71	75
progress		
Steady	21	17
progress		
Overall	78	83
progress		

In this class,14 children are eligible for the Pupil Premium grant. Of these 14 children, 8 children have either EAL, SEN or both. This means that of the children eligible for the PP grant in this year group, about 60% of then have additional protected characteristics.

(being that 14 children are eligible for the PPG, this means that each PP child = 7% meaning that PPG children and NPP children are achieving in line with each other) Which indicates good impact.

Reading

	PP	NPP
Rapid	14	0
progress		
Expected	79	83
progress		
Steady	7	17
progress		
Overall	93	83
progress		

Year 6 writing

[
	PP	NPP
Rapid	0	20
progress		
Expected	90	73
progress		
Steady	10	7
progress		
Overall	90	93
progress		

In this class,10 children are eligible for the Pupil Premium grant. Of these 10 children, 5 (50%) are either on the special education needs register, have English as an additional language or both.

that this is a	projection	отту		
	Reading			
	Rapid	PP 22	NPP 6	
	progress	22	0	
	Expected	63	88	
	progress			
	Steady	20	6	
	progress	05	0.1	
	Overall progress	85	94	
	progress			
	NB each PP	child	in this v	ear group is equivalent to 10 percentage points.
			•	ence between PP and NPP in reading is less than
				hildren are making comparable progress.
		20010		
	In summary	_		
			. the pro	gress made by children eligible for the PPG either
				dren ineligible for the grant. Meaning that
				gap between PP and NPP children have been very
	successful.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
National studies,	An Improver	ment i	n mathe	matical fluency would best me measured by
and indeed our	looking at th	e prog	gress ch	ildren have made throughout the year in
observations and	mathematics	s. The	e data b	elow shows children eligible for the PP grant (PP)
discussion with	compared to	b their	counter	parts who are not eligible for the grant (NPP)
families, indicate				
that the education	Year 1 Math	S		
and well-being of				
many of our				hildren who are eligible for the PP grant. Out of
disadvantaged				glish as a second language. As can be seen
pupils have been				en are making comparable progress.
impacted by partial			PP	
school closures to a		0 0		
greater extent than	progress Expected	89 8	7	
for other children.	progress	09 0	'	
These findings are	· · ·	11 1	3	
supported by	progress			
national studies.		89 8	7	
	progress			
This has resulted in				
a significant lack of				
mathematical				
fluency.				

that this is a projection only

Year 2 Maths

In this class, 9 children are eligible for the pupil premium grant but out of these, 3 have English as an additional language (30% of the PP children also have protected characteristics) However, it can be seen in the table below that PP children are making better progress that NPP children, meaning that interventions have been very successful.

PP	NPP
29	13
70	69
0	19
100	81
	29 70 0

Year 3 Maths

In this class, there are 10 children eligible for the pupil premium grant. Of these children, there are 3 who have EAL and 1 who has special educational needs. (40%) Despite this, PP and NPP are making comparable progress.

	PP	NPP
Rapid	0	20
progress		
Expected	80	60
progress		
Steady	20	20
progress		
Overall	80	80
progress		

Year 4 MAths

In this class, 9 children are eligible for the pupil premium grant out of these, 4 children have EAL and 1 child has SEN.

Therefore, out of the 9 children who are eligible for the PPG, 56% of them have additional protected characteristics. This shows that PP and NPP are making comparable progress (NB. Each PP child is 'worth' 11% - therefore there is 'less than one child' difference)

	PP	NPP
Rapid	0	14
progress		
Expected	90	86
progress		
Steady	13	0
progress		
Overall	90	100
progress		

that this is a projection only

Year 5 Maths

In this class,14 children are eligible for the Pupil Premium grant. Of these 10 children, 8 (57%) are either on the special education needs register, have English as an additional language or both. However, it can be seen in the table below that PP children are making comparable progress to their NPP counterparts. Therefore, our interventions have been very successful.

	PP	NPP
Rapid	0	0
progress		
Expected	86	83
progress		
Steady	14	17
progress		
Overall	86	83
progress		

Year 6 Maths

In this class,10 children are eligible for the Pupil Premium grant. Of these 10 children, 5 (50%) are either on the special education needs register, have English as an additional language or both. However, it can be seen in the table below that PP children are making better progress that NPP children, meaning that interventions have been very successful.

	PP	NPP
Rapid	20	13
progress		
Expected	80	81
progress		
Steady	0	6
progress		
Overall	100	94
progress		

that this is a projection only

Through assessment. discussion and observation we have deduced that the children's dependency on technology during partial school closures has resulted in fewer opportunities to write sustained pieces of work. This impacts on the children's written sentence organisation, letter formation, spelling and grammar.

	-	
	PP	NPP
Rapid	56%	13%
progress		
Expected	44%	87%
progress		
Steady	0%	0%
progress		
Overall	100%	100%
progress		
Veer 1		

Year 1

IN this class there are 9 children who are eligible for the PP grant. Out of those, 3 children have English as a second language. However, PP and NPP children are achieving in line with each other. Which indicates very good impact.

NPP 9% 19%
9% 19%
% 63%
6 19%
0% 81%

Year 2

In this class, 9 children are eligible for the pupil premium grant but out of these, 3 have English as an additional language (30% of the PP children also have protected characteristics)

However, children eligible for the PP grant are out performing NPP children. Which indicates excellent impact.

Year 3

	PP	NPP
Rapid progress	0	20
Expected	90	73
progress		
Steady progress	10	07
Overall progress	90	93

In this class, there are 10 children eligible for the pupil premium grant. Of these children, 1 has SEN and 3 have EAL meaning 40% of our pupil premium children also have protected characteristics. However, PP and NPP children are achieving in line with each other. Which indicates very good impact

that this is a projection only Year 4

	PP	NPP
Rapid	11	14
progress		
Expected	89	86
progress		
Steady	0	0
progress		
Overall	100	100
progress		

In this class, 10 children are eligible for the pupil premium grant out of these, 4 children have EAL and 1 child has SEN.

Therefore, out of the 10 children who are eligible for the PPG, 50% of them have additional protected characteristics. However, PP and NPP children are achieving in line with each other. Which indicates very good impact.

Year 5

	PP	NPP
Rapid	7	8
progress		
Expected	71	75
progress		
Steady	21	17
progress		
Overall	78	83
progress		

In this class,14 children are eligible for the Pupil Premium grant. Of these 14 children, 8 children have either EAL, SEN or both. This means that of the children eligible for the PP grant in this year group, about 60% of then have additional protected characteristics.

(being that 14 children are eligible for the PPG, this means that each PP child = 7% meaning that PPG children and NPP children are achieving in line with each other) Which indicates good

Year 6

	PP	NPP	
Rapid	0	20	
progress			
Expected	90	73	
progress			
Steady	10	7	
progress			
Overall	90	93	
progress			
In this close 10 shildren or			

In this class,10 children are eligible for the Pupil Premium grant. Of these 10 children, 5 (50%) are either on the special education needs register, have English as an additional language or both. It can be seen that the PP children and their NPP counterparts have made comparable progress.

that this is a projection only

exceeds or is in line with children ineligible for the grant. Meaning that	
	Across the school, the progress made by children eligible for the PPG either exceeds or is in line with children ineligible for the grant. Meaning that interventions to close the gap between PP and NPP children have been very
	successful.

Bellenden

Projection for 2024 2025 based on a Pupil Premium Grant of £136,080

Teaching			
Spending on	Description and formula	Evidence that supports this approach	Spending
Tracking and Assessment	The Assessment Co-ordinator (Headteacher) spends one afternoon per week tracking and analysing the attainment and progress data for PP children. She then identifies PP children who will need interventions and arranges them accordingly. She also compares attainment and progress of children eligible for the pupil premium grant compared to those who are not eligible.	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction: Evidence: Standardised tests Assessing and Monitoring Pupil Progress	£11,020
Subscriptions	Purple Mash Classroom secrets White Rose Twinkl Kapow Access Art		£2818

Assistant HT Developing teaching	1 day per week developing subject leadership.	Enhancement of subject leadership in terms of teaching and leading, underpinning the curriculum in line with DfE and EEF guidance. We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of leadership.	£18,787
Revision materials	It has been proved that regular assessment of children's attainment and progress can provide teachers with opportunities to address misconceptions. The school buys assessment and revision resources to enable them to do this.	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction: Evidence: Standardised tests Assessing and Monitoring Pupil Progress	£2000
		Total for teaching	£34,625
		Interventions	
Interventions	TAs and HLTAs are released from class for 8 hours each week to deliver targeted interventions to close the gap. The interventions are aimed at those children who are not yet on track and who are eligible for the PP grant. The interventions can take the form of post- teaching, pre- teaching, addressing misconceptions and	Our own data shows that these highly targeted interventions have a positive impact on attainment. Teaching Assistant Interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	£45,979

that this is a projection only

Inclusions Leader Interventions	consolidation. Those children who are on track and eligible for the PP grant will receive interventions to push them to achieve their full potential. At present this involves 7 TAs Inclusions leader works in class with those children who are eligible to the PP grant and who have SEN reading, writing	Our own data shows that these highly targeted interventions have a positive impact on attainment. Teaching Assistant Interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	£11, 618
Senior	and maths. Year 6 children	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/	£12, 650
Leadership interventions.	receive small group teaching to close the gap in reading, writing and maths.	education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/ small-group-tuition	
Speech and Language	Speech and Language therapist's work directly with children who have language organisation difficulties, limited vocabulary and sentence building difficulties. These children receive support from a trained TA and may not necessarily be on the SEN register.	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/ education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/ small-group-tuition Oral language interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	£11,040
Giovanna – 2 day per week	intervention for children who have EAL and eligibility for the PP grant	Oral language interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	£11,447
£92,734 (£79,466 used from PP).			

Wider School			
Breakfast Club and ASC	Children who are eligible for the pupil premium grant will receive free breakfast and after school.	Breakfast clubs found to boost primary pupils' reading writing EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-</u> evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/extending-school-time	£5,882
Subsidies for trips and visits	All children who are entitled to the PPG will be entitled to heavy subsidies for trips and visits.	Life skills and enrichment EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	£2662
Mental Health and well being	We employ a play therapist for those children who have emotional needs, are bereaved or have experienced trauma. Our therapist is currently working with a number of children who are eligible for the PP grant	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional-learning	£2600
Music and PE	Specialist teacher to deliver music and PE sessions throughout the year on a rotation basis	Arts participation EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	£10,845
Total Wider School Spend			21989
Total Pupil Premium Spend £136,080			